1	DAN SIEGEL, SBN 056400	LOUIS A. LEONE, ESQ. (SBN: 099874)	
2	EMILYROSE JOHNS, SBN 294319 SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA	CLAUDIA LEED, ESQ. (SBN: 122676) SETH L. GORDON, ESQ. (SBN: 262653)	
3	475 14th Street, Suite 500	LEONE & ALBERTS	
	Oakland, California 94612	A Professional Corporation	
4	Telephone: (510) 839-1200	1390 Willow Pass Road, Suite 700	
5	Facsimile: (510) 444-6698 Emails: danmsiegel@gmail.com;	Concord, CA 94520 Telephone: (925) 974-8600	
6	emilyrose@siegelyee.com	Facsimile: (925) 974-8601	
		Emails: lleone@leonealberts.com	
7	Attorneys for Claimants SARU JAYARAMAN, STEPHEN YOUNG,	cleed@leonealberts.com sgordon@leonealberts.com	
8	MARTIN BOYDEN, ZACH NORRIS,	sgordon@iconcarperts.com	
9	DEIRDRE SNYDER, MIKE LOUDEN,	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS	
	AMY HARUYAMA, and ERIC	OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	
10	PETTENGILL	JEFF GODOWN, DONALD PERRIER	
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA		
13	DISTRICT OF NORT	HERN CALIFORNIA	
14			
15	SARU JAYARAMAN, STEPHEN YOUNG, MARTIN BOYDEN, ZACH NORRIS,	) Case No. 3:20-cv-00685-VC	
16	DEIRDRE SNYDER, MIKE LOUDEN,	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
	AMY HARUYAMA, and ERIC	ORDER TO VACATE	
17	PETTENGILL,	) DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO	
18	Claimants,	) ANSWER OR OTHERWISE ) RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST	
19	Ciamiants,	) AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO	
19	VS.	) SCHEDULE THE FILING OF AND	
20		) RESPONSE TO A SECOND	
21	OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT;	) AMENDED COMPLAINT	
22	JEFF GODOWN, POLICE CHIEF; DONALD PERRIER, POLICE SERGEANT,	)	
	and DOES 1 through 20,	)	
23	D 1 .	)	
24	Respondents.	.)	
25	The parties to the above captioned litigation hereby stipulate by and through		
26	their undersigned counsel of record as follows:		
27	Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint in this matter on April 10, 2020.		
28	(ECF No. 28.) On April 15, 2020, the Court ordered defendants to Answer or move to		
	Jayaraman v. OUSD, No. 3:20-cv-00685-VC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Vacate Defendants' Deadline to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and to Schedule the Filing of and Response to a Second Amended Complaint - 1		

dismiss plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint within 14 days of the Court's Order. (ECF No. 29.). Pursuant to this Court's order, Defendants' response to the First Amended Complaint was due filed by April 29, 2020.

On April 15, 2020, the parties exchanged initial disclosures. In doing so, plaintiffs learned the identities of the parties previously presented as DOE defendants. Plaintiffs intend to file a Second Amended Complaint to name the identities of the DOE defendants.

Defendants have identified issues with plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint that they had intended to address with a Motion to Dismiss. However, the parties agree that judicial economy may best be served by meeting and conferring over plaintiffs' proposed Second Amended Complaint to determine whether it resolves defendants' concerns.

The parties agree that the defendants do not have to respond to the First Amended Complaint in view of the plaintiffs' intention to file a Second Amended Complaint.

Plaintiffs will provide defendants with the proposed Second Amended Complaint by April 27, 2020. Plaintiffs will redline or highlight the changes incorporated into the proposed Second Amended Complaint. The parties will then meet and confer regarding the proposed Second Amended Complaint

If the parties are successful in their efforts to meet and confer, the parties will stipulate to a proposed order permitting the filing of a Second Amended Complaint. The stipulation and proposed order will require defendants to Answer the Second Amended Complaint by May 22, 2020.

///

25 || ///

26 || ///

1	However, if the parties cannot resolve their dispute regarding the Second	
2	Amended Complaint by May 8, 2020, defendants will stipulate to the filing of plaintiffs	
3	Second Amended Complaint on May 8, 2020 and will file their Motion to Dismiss by	
4	May 22, 2020.	
5	It is so stipulated.	
6	Dated: April 25, 2020	
7		SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA
8		
9		By <u>/s/EmilyRose Johns</u> EmilyRose Johns
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs SARU JAYARAMAN, STEPHEN YOUNG,
12		MARTIN BOYDEN, ZACH NORRIS, DEIRDRE SNYDER, MIKE LOUDEN,
13		AMY HARUYAMA, and ERIC PETTENGILL
14	Dated: April 25, 2020	
15		LEONE & ALBERTS
16		
17 18		By <u>/s/Claudia Leed</u> Claudia Leed
19		Attorneys for Defendants
20		OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, JEFF GODOWN, and DONALD PERRIER
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	11	

Jayaraman v. OUSD, No. 3:20-cv-00685-VC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Vacate Defendants' Deadline to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and to Schedule the Filing of and Response to a Second Amended Complaint - 3

## [PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to stipulation and good cause showing, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April \_\_\_\_, 2020 Hon. Vince Chhabria United States District Judge

Jayaraman v. OUSD, No. 3:20-cv-00685-VC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Vacate Defendants' Deadline to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and to Schedule the Filing of and Response to a Second Amended Complaint - 4